

Porous FeS nanofibers with numerous nanovoids obtained by Kirkendall diffusion effect for use as anode materials for sodium-ion batteries

Jung Sang Cho^{1,2}, Jin-Sung Park¹, and Yun Chan Kang¹ (✉)

¹ Department of Materials Science and Engineering, Korea University, Anam-Dong, Seongbuk-Gu, Seoul 136-713, Republic of Korea

² Department of Engineering Chemistry, Chungbuk National University, Chungbuk 361-763, Republic of Korea

Received: 14 August 2016

Revised: 18 October 2016

Accepted: 21 October 2016

© Tsinghua University Press
and Springer-Verlag Berlin
Heidelberg 2016

KEYWORDS

iron sulfide,
sodium-ion batteries,
Kirkendall effect,
nanofibers,
electrospinning

ABSTRACT

Porous FeS nanofibers with numerous nanovoids for use as anode materials for sodium-ion batteries were prepared by electrospinning and subsequent sulfidation. The post-treatment of the as-spun Fe(acac)₃-polyacrylonitrile composite nanofibers in an air atmosphere yielded hollow Fe₂O₃ nanofibers due to Ostwald ripening. The ultrafine Fe₂O₃ nanocrystals formed at the center of the fiber diffused toward the outside of the fiber via Ostwald ripening. On sulfidation, the Fe₂O₃ hollow nanofibers were transformed into porous FeS nanofibers, which contained numerous nanovoids. The formation of porosity in the FeS nanofibers was driven by nanoscale Kirkendall diffusion. The porous FeS nanofibers were very structurally stable and had superior sodium-ion storage properties compared with the hollow Fe₂O₃ nanofibers. The discharge capacities of the porous FeS nanofibers for the 1st and 150th cycles at a current density of 500 mA·g⁻¹ were 561 and 592 mA·h·g⁻¹, respectively. The FeS nanofibers had final discharge capacities of 456, 437, 413, 394, 380, and 353 mA·h·g⁻¹ at current densities of 0.2, 0.5, 1.0, 2.0, 3.0, and 5.0 A·g⁻¹, respectively.

1 Introduction

Sodium-ion batteries (SIBs) have been extensively studied as potential power sources for use in large-scale devices [1–5]. However, for many applications, the performance of SIBs must be significantly improved, for example, by developing more efficient cathode and anode materials [6–10]. Consequently, significant research efforts have been put into developing new

cathode and anode materials [11–15]. Nanostructured metal sulfide materials can be synthesized by several methods and have been studied as anode materials for SIBs [16–19]. Specifically, iron sulfides (FeS and FeS₂) have been studied as anode materials for SIBs [20–24]. For example, Hu et al. demonstrated that FeS₂ microspheres, which have surprisingly high rate capabilities and an unprecedented long-term cyclability, can be used in room-temperature rechargeable sodium

Address correspondence to yckang@korea.ac.kr

batteries for intercalation electrochemical reactions by selecting a compatible $\text{NaSO}_3\text{CF}_3/\text{diglyme}$ electrolyte and tuning the cutoff voltage to 0.8 V [20]. Lee et al. showed that FeS-reduced graphene oxide (rGO) composite powders exhibit superior sodium-ion storage performance compared with $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4\text{-rGO}$ composite powders [21]. However, FeS nanofibers have not been studied for use as anode materials for SIBs.

Electrospinning is a simple and highly versatile method for the preparation of one-dimensional (1D) nanostructured materials of various compositions, and transition-metal oxide and sulfide nanofibers prepared by electrospinning have been used as anode materials for SIBs [6, 25–30]. Zhu et al. reported a self-standing FeS_2 @carbon fiber cathode with FeS_2 nanoparticles either encapsulated in or attached to interconnected 1D carbon fibers for use in rechargeable Li- FeS_2 systems [31]. However, nanostructured FeS materials treated by electrospinning for use in rechargeable secondary batteries have not been studied.

In this study, porous FeS nanofibers were prepared via electrospinning and subsequent sulfidation. The Fe_2O_3 hollow nanofibers were transformed into porous FeS nanofibers by a simple sulfidation process. The formation of porosity in the FeS nanofibers was driven by nanoscale Kirkendall diffusion. The electrochemical properties of the porous FeS nanofibers for sodium-ion storage were compared with those of Fe_2O_3 hollow nanofibers.

2 Experimental

2.1 Sample preparation

Porous FeS nanofibers with numerous nanovoids were prepared in three steps: the formation of as-spun precursor nanofibers and two post-treatment steps. First, $\text{Fe}(\text{acac})_3$ -polyacrylonitrile (PAN) ($\text{Fe}(\text{acac})_3$ -PAN) composite nanofibers were prepared as precursor nanofibers by electrospinning. The precursor solution for electrospinning was prepared by dissolving $\text{Fe}(\text{acac})_3$ (4.0 g, STREM Chemicals, 99%) and PAN (4.0 g, Aldrich, $M_w = 150,000$) in N,N -dimethylformamide (DMF, 50 mL, Aldrich, 99%) with vigorous stirring overnight. The prepared solution was loaded at a flow rate of $2 \text{ mL}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$ into a plastic syringe equipped

with a 25-gauge stainless-steel nozzle. The solution was subsequently ejected and electrospun onto a drum collector covered with aluminum foil. During the electrospinning process, the distance between the tip and the collector and the rotation speed of the drum were set to 20 cm and 100 rpm, respectively. The applied voltage between the collector and the syringe tip was 25 kV. The resultant $\text{Fe}(\text{acac})_3$ -PAN composite nanofibers were stabilized at 200°C in air for 1 h. Then, the first step in the post-treatment process was performed; the nanofibers were heated to 500°C for 1 h in an air atmosphere, yielding carbon-free Fe_2O_3 nanofibers. In the second post-treatment step, the nanofibers were subjected to sulfidation at 400°C for 8 h in H_2S gas, which was generated from thiourea ($\text{HN}_2\text{NCSNH}_2$, Junsei, 98%) powder and H_2 gas. For the sulfidation process, the Fe_2O_3 nanofibers and thiourea powders were loaded into a covered alumina boat and placed in a quartz tube reactor; sulfidation resulted in the formation of porous FeS nanofibers.

2.2 Characterizations

The microstructures of the nanofibers were observed using scanning electron microscopy (SEM, TESCAN VEGA3 SBH) and high-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HR-TEM, JEOL JEM-2100F) at a working voltage of 200 kV. In addition, their crystal structures were examined by X-ray diffraction (XRD, X'Pert PRO MPD) using $\text{Cu K}\alpha$ radiation ($\lambda = 1.5418 \text{ \AA}$) at the Korea Basic Science Institute (Daegu). X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was performed on the nanofibers using a Thermo Scientific K-Alpha spectrometer with $\text{Al K}\alpha$ radiation (1,486.6 eV). Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA, SDT Q600) of the nanofibers was conducted in air at a heating rate of $10^\circ\text{C}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$. The carbon-impurity content of the FeS nanofibers was determined by elemental analysis (ThermoFisher, Flash EA 2000 Series). The surface area of the nanofibers was determined using the Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) method, where N_2 was used as the adsorbate gas.


2.3 Electrochemical measurements

The electrochemical properties of the nanofibers were analyzed using a 2032-type coin cell. The anode was

prepared by mixing the active material—carbon black—and sodium carboxymethylcellulose at a weight ratio of 7:2:1. Sodium metal and microporous polypropylene film were used as the counter electrode and the separator, respectively. The electrolyte comprised 1 M NaClO_4 with 5% fluoroethylene carbonate dissolved in a mixture of ethylene carbonate and dimethyl carbonate (1:1 v/v). The discharge/charge characteristics of the samples were investigated by cycling over a potential range of 0.001 to 3 V at various current densities. Cyclic voltammograms were measured at a scan rate of $0.07 \text{ mV}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$. The size of the negative electrode containing the nanofibers was $1.4 \text{ cm} \times 1.4 \text{ cm}$, and the mass loading was $\sim 1.3 \text{ mg}\cdot\text{cm}^{-2}$. The electrochemical impedance was measured using electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) over a frequency range of 0.01 Hz to 100 kHz after the cell had been fully charged to 3.0 V.

3 Results and discussion


The formation mechanism of the porous FeS nanofibers with numerous nanovoids is illustrated in Scheme 1. The post-treatment of the as-spun $\text{Fe}(\text{acac})_3$ -PAN composite nanofibers (Scheme 1(a)) in an air atmosphere yielded hollow Fe_2O_3 nanofibers. The carbonization of PAN and the decomposition of $\text{Fe}(\text{acac})_3$ yielded Fe_3O_4 -C composite nanofibers with a filled structure, as an intermediate product (Scheme 1(b)). The combustion of carbon and oxidation



Scheme 1 Formation mechanism of the porous FeS nanofibers with numerous nanovoids.

of Fe_3O_4 produced Fe_2O_3 nanofibers. In this stage, Ostwald ripening resulted in the hollow Fe_2O_3 nanofibers (Scheme 1(c)). Ultrafine Fe_2O_3 nanocrystals that formed at the center of the fiber diffused toward the outside of the fiber via Ostwald ripening. Consequently, the filled-structured Fe_3O_4 -C fibers transformed into hollow and porous Fe_2O_3 nanofibers. Subsequent sulfidation of the hollow Fe_2O_3 nanofibers at 400°C under H_2S gas produced porous structured FeS nanofibers with numerous nanovoids (Scheme 1(d)). Ultrafine nanovoids formed by nanoscale Kirkendall diffusion were uniformly distributed throughout the FeS nanofiber structure.

A detailed mechanism for the formation of nanovoids by nanoscale Kirkendall diffusion is shown in Scheme 2. The metallic Fe thin layer was first formed over the Fe_2O_3 nanocrystal by reduction during the sulfidation process (Schemes 2(a) and 2(b)). Fe cations with a small ionic radius (Fe^{2+} : 76 pm) diffused outward more quickly than H_2S gas diffused inward. Accordingly, Kirkendall voids were generated near the Fe/FeS interface during vacancy-assisted exchange of the material via bulk interdiffusion (Scheme 2(c)). The reduction of Fe_2O_3 into Fe and the subsequent complete conversion of Fe into FeS through Kirkendall-type diffusion transformed the hollow Fe_2O_3 nanofibers into porous FeS nanofibers with numerous nanovoids (Scheme 2(d)).



Scheme 2 Detailed mechanism for the formation of nanovoids in the surface region of the Fe_2O_3 shell of the nanofibers by nanoscale Kirkendall diffusion.

The formation mechanism for the porous FeS nanofibers was investigated based on the morphological changes that occurred during the post-treatment process. The morphology and crystal structure of the stabilized electrospun nanofibers obtained by post-treatment at 200 °C under an air atmosphere are shown in Fig. S1 (in the Electronic Supplementary Material (ESM)). The nanofibers had a uniform morphology with a filled structure, as shown in Fig. S1(a) (in the ESM). Partial decomposition of $\text{Fe}(\text{acac})_3$ during the stabilization process led to the formation of ultrafine Fe_3O_4 nanocrystals, as confirmed by the XRD analysis shown in Fig. S1(b) (in the ESM).

The morphologies of the Fe_2O_3 nanofibers formed by post-treatment of the stabilized electrospun nanofibers at 500 °C in air for 1 h are shown in Fig. 1. The SEM and TEM images shown in Figs. 1(a)–1(c) reveal the hollow and porous morphologies of the Fe_2O_3 nanofibers. The temperature-dependent morphological changes to the nanofibers were investigated to confirm the formation mechanism of the hollow morphologies of the Fe_2O_3 nanofibers. The Fe_2O_3 -C composite nanofibers formed at 400 °C were filled, rather than being hollow, as shown in Fig. S2 (in the ESM). Therefore, the Fe_2O_3 -C composite nanofibers with filled structure were formed as an intermediate product during the formation of the hollow Fe_2O_3 nanofibers at 500 °C. The filled nanofibers were transformed into the hollow Fe_2O_3 nanofibers by Ostwald ripening. The diameter and shell thickness of the nanofibers, as shown in Fig. 1(c), are approximately 130 and 20 nm, respectively. The HR-TEM image shown in Fig. 1(d) shows clear lattice fringes separated by 0.25 nm, corresponding to the (110) lattice plane of Fe_2O_3 . The XRD patterns, selected-area electron diffraction (SAED) patterns, and elemental-mapping images shown in Fig. S3(a) (in the ESM), and Figs. 1(e) and 1(f), respectively, confirm the formation of carbon-free Fe_2O_3 nanofibers with no impurity phases after post-treatment at 500 °C.

The hollow Fe_2O_3 nanofibers shown in Fig. 1 were transformed into porous FeS nanofibers containing numerous nanovoids after sulfidation at 400 °C for 8 h, as shown in Fig. 2. The XRD pattern shown in Fig. S3(b) (in the ESM) indicates that the Fe_2O_3 was completely converted into FeS nanofibers by sulfidation. The




Figure 1 Morphologies, SAED pattern, and elemental-mapping images of the Fe_2O_3 nanofibers after post-treatment at 500 °C for 1 h: (a) SEM image, (b) and (c) TEM images, (d) HR-TEM image, (e) SAED pattern, and (f) elemental-mapping images.

hollow inner space of the nanofibers disappeared after sulfidation, as confirmed by inspection of the SEM and TEM images in Figs. 1 and 2, respectively. However, the ultrafine nanovoids that formed inside the FeS nanofibers remained, as shown in Figs. 2(c) and 2(d). The HR-TEM image of Fig. 2(e) shows clear lattice fringes separated by 0.27 nm, corresponding to the (112) lattice plane of FeS. The SAED pattern and elemental-mapping images shown in Figs. 2(f) and 2(g), respectively, confirm the complete transformation of Fe_2O_3 into FeS nanofibers by the simple sulfidation process. The N_2 adsorption and desorption isotherms and Barrett–Joyner–Halenda (BJH) pore-size distributions of the Fe_2O_3 and FeS nanofibers are shown in Fig. S4 (in the ESM). The BET surface areas of the hollow Fe_2O_3 and porous FeS nanofibers were 60 and

$5 \text{ m}^2\cdot\text{g}^{-1}$, respectively. The hollow Fe_2O_3 nanofibers exhibited well-developed mesopores between the nanocrystals. In this study, the Fe_2O_3 hollow nanofibers were transformed into porous FeS nanofibers, and the formation of porosity in the FeS nanofibers was driven by the nanoscale Kirkendall diffusion effect. The ultrafine nanovoids formed by nanoscale Kirkendall diffusion were mostly trapped in the dense shell structure, without gas-permeable open pores. Therefore, the porous FeS nanofibers formed by nanoscale Kirkendall diffusion had a low BET surface area compared with the hollow Fe_2O_3 nanofibers.




Figure 2 Morphologies, SAED pattern, and elemental-mapping images of the porous FeS nanofibers containing numerous nanovoids after sulfidation at 400°C for 8 h: (a) and (b) SEM images, (c) and (d) TEM images, (e) HR-TEM image, (f) SAED pattern, and (g) elemental-mapping images.

The chemical state and molecular environment of the porous FeS nanofibers were characterized by XPS. The XPS survey spectrum of the FeS nanofibers shown in Fig. 3(a) contains signals corresponding to Fe and S. In the Fe 2p spectrum of the FeS nanofibers, which is shown in Fig. 3(b), small peaks arose at binding energies of 708.4 eV for Fe $2p_{3/2}$ and 721.5 eV for Fe $2p_{1/2}$. These peaks are ascribed to Fe^{2+} states in the Fe 2p spectrum of the FeS phase [21, 32, 33]. Additionally, peaks observed at binding energies of 710.6 eV for Fe $2p_{3/2}$ and 723.4 eV for Fe $2p_{1/2}$ are ascribed to Fe^{3+} states that are characteristic of iron oxide (Fe_3O_4) [33–35]. The FeS surface was converted into iron oxide (Fe_3O_4) because of the high reactivity of FeS toward oxygen. In the S 2p spectrum of the porous FeS nanofibers shown in Fig. 3(c), the core-level band of the S 2p region is observed, and peaks at 161.8 and 160.8 eV, which are characteristic of FeS, correspond to S $2p_{1/2}$ and S $2p_{3/2}$, respectively [21, 32, 33]. The thermogravimetric curve of the porous FeS nanofibers shown in Fig. 3(d) reveals one-step weight gain and two-step weight loss at temperatures below 600°C . The partial conversion reaction of FeS into FeSO_4 resulted in a weight increase at temperatures less than 400°C [36–38]. The steep weight loss around 370°C is attributed to the decomposition of FeS into Fe_2O_3 . The final weight loss around 540°C is attributed to the decomposition of FeSO_4 into Fe_2O_3 . Carbon was not detected in the elemental analysis of the FeS nanofibers, as shown in Table S1 (in the ESM).

The sodium-ion storage performances of the porous FeS nanofibers with numerous nanovoids were compared with those of the hollow Fe_2O_3 nanofibers. Cyclic voltammograms (CVs) of the FeS nanofibers taken during the first seven cycles at a scan rate of $0.1 \text{ mV}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ in the voltage range of 0.001 to 3 V are shown in Fig. 4(a). The first cathodic scan of the FeS nanofibers contains a sharp peak at 0.77 V and a broad peak around 0.13 V. The first reduction peak at 0.77 V is attributed to the formation of Na_xFeS [21, 22, 39] and the formation of a solid electrolyte interphase (SEI) by electrolyte decomposition [40]. The second broad peak at 0.13 V is attributed to the formation of Fe and Na_2S [21, 22, 39]. The formation of ultrafine nanocrystals during the first cycle resulted in broad reduction peaks from the second cycle




Figure 3 XPS spectra and TGA of the porous FeS nanofibers: (a) XPS survey spectrum, (b) XPS Fe 2p spectrum, (c) XPS S 2p spectrum, and (d) TGA.

onward [41, 42]. The oxidation peaks observed at 1.41 and 1.83 V are attributed to the formation of Na_xFeS and FeS, respectively [21, 22, 39]. Additionally, the small peak at 0.13 V is attributed to sodium extraction from nanopores in the FeS nanofibers [43, 44]. The charge and discharge curves of the FeS nanofibers for the 1st and 2nd cycles, which were obtained at a constant current density of $500 \text{ mA} \cdot \text{g}^{-1}$, coincide well with the CV curves. A distinct plateau around 0.77 V, due to the formation of Na_xFeS and SEI by electrolyte decomposition, is observed in the first discharge curve, as shown in Fig. 4(b). However, the plateau is observed at ~0.11 V in the second discharge curve of the FeS nanofibers. The initial discharge and charge capacities of the porous FeS nanofibers were 561 and $456 \text{ mA} \cdot \text{h} \cdot \text{g}^{-1}$, respectively. In comparison, those of the hollow Fe_2O_3 nanofibers were 527 and $328 \text{ mA} \cdot \text{h} \cdot \text{g}^{-1}$, respectively. The initial Coulombic efficiencies of the porous FeS and hollow Fe_2O_3 nanofibers were 81% and 62%, respectively. The porous FeS nanofibers maintained their stable reversible discharge capacities of $\sim 442 \text{ mA} \cdot \text{h} \cdot \text{g}^{-1}$ at a constant

current density of $500 \text{ mA} \cdot \text{g}^{-1}$ during the first 30 cycles, as shown in Fig. 4(c). Then, the discharge capacities steadily increased to $592 \text{ mA} \cdot \text{h} \cdot \text{g}^{-1}$ for the remaining 120 cycles. The discharge capacities of the porous FeS nanofibers increased gradually during cycling, primarily because of the formation of a polymeric gel-like film on the active material resulting from electrolyte degradation, which has been observed in other transition metal oxides [45–47]. Generally, although the initial surface area was relatively low, after the first sodiation reaction, the FeS was converted into nano-grain metal particles in the Na_2S matrix. Therefore, the surface area greatly increased. Moreover, owing to the conversion characteristic of FeS, a fresh metal surface was generated in every cathodic process. Subsequently, electrolyte decomposition occurred to form SEI films, which resulted in a gradual capacity increase [45–47]. The porous FeS nanofibers had high Coulombic efficiencies above 98% from the 3rd cycle onward. The discharge capacities of the porous FeS nanofibers and hollow Fe_2O_3 nanofibers for the 150th cycle were 592 and $147 \text{ mA} \cdot \text{h} \cdot \text{g}^{-1}$, respectively. The




Figure 4 Electrochemical properties of the porous FeS and hollow Fe₂O₃ nanofibers for sodium-ion storage: (a) CV curves for the porous FeS nanofibers, (b) 1st and 2nd charge/discharge curves at a constant current density of 500 mA·g^{−1}, (c) cycle performance at a constant current density of 500 mA·g^{−1}, and (d) rate performance at different current densities.

porous FeS nanofibers showed superior sodium-ion storage performance compared with that of the hollow Fe₂O₃ nanofibers. The porous FeS nanofibers had good rate performance, as shown in Fig. 4(d), in which the current density increases in a stepwise manner from 0.2 to 5.0 A·g^{−1}. The FeS nanofibers had final discharge capacities of 456, 437, 413, 394, 380, and 353 mA·h·g^{−1} at current densities of 0.2, 0.5, 1.0, 2.0, 3.0, and 5.0 A·g^{−1}, respectively. In addition, the discharge capacities of the FeS nanofibers recovered well, increasing to 510 mA·h·g^{−1} when the current density returned to 0.2 A·g^{−1} after cycling at high current densities. On the other hand, the hollow Fe₂O₃ nanofibers had final discharge capacities of 214, 164, 143, 126, 115, and 97 mA·h·g^{−1} at current densities of 0.2, 0.5, 1.0, 2.0, 3.0, and 5.0 A·g^{−1}, respectively.

The superior sodium-ion storage performance of the porous FeS nanofibers is supported by EIS analysis, as shown in Fig. 5. Nyquist plots of the porous FeS

nanofibers and hollow Fe₂O₃ nanofibers cells before and after 1, 30, 50, and 100 cycles were obtained by deconvolution with a Randle-type equivalent-circuit model (Fig. S5(d) in the ESM) [48–50]. The equivalent-circuit model describes the electrochemical-reaction steps, including sodium-ion migration through the SEI layers, the charge-transfer reaction, and the kinetics of sodium-ion diffusion through the active material [51–53]. The medium-frequency semicircle in the Nyquist plots is attributed to the charge-transfer resistance (R_{ct}) between the active material and the electrolyte, and the low-frequency region corresponds to the sodium-ion diffusion process within the electrodes [54–56]. The R_{ct} of the porous FeS nanofibers was 246 Ω , which is lower than that of the hollow Fe₂O₃ nanofibers: 429 Ω before cycling, as shown in Fig. 5(a). The ultrafine FeS nanocrystals and the high electrical conductivity of FeS compared with the Fe₂O₃ phase resulted in the low R_{ct} of the porous FeS nanofibers




Figure 5 Nyquist impedance plots of the porous FeS and hollow Fe_2O_3 nanofibers: (a) Nyquist impedance plot of the nanofibers before cycling, (b) Nyquist impedance plots of the porous FeS nanofibers after cycling, (c) Nyquist impedance plots of the hollow Fe_2O_3 nanofibers after cycling, and (d) equivalent-circuit model used for alternating-current impedance fitting.

[57, 58]. The R_{ct} values of the porous FeS nanofibers after 1, 30, 50, and 100 cycles (shown in Fig. 5(b)) were 151, 96, 85, and 86 Ω , respectively. The formation

of ultrafine FeS nanocrystals during the first cycle reduced the charge-transfer resistance. The further decrease in R_{ct} over the remaining 50 cycles is attributed to the gradual activation of the porous FeS nanofibers. The R_{ct} values of the hollow Fe_2O_3 nanofibers after 1, 30, 50, and 100 cycles, as shown in Fig. 5(c), were 119, 130, 154, and 162 Ω , respectively. The R_{ct} values of the hollow Fe_2O_3 nanofibers increased significantly because of the structural destruction during repeated sodium-ion insertion and desertion. The results of the EIS analysis are evidence of the structural stability of the porous FeS nanofibers during the repeated sodium-ion insertion and extraction processes. The presence of nanovoids in the structure improved the structural stability of the samples.

The morphologies of the two samples obtained after 100 cycles are shown in Fig. 6. The hollow Fe_2O_3 nanofibers had been broken into several pieces after cycling (Fig. 6(a)). The large volume change during the repeated sodium insertion and desertion destroyed the fiber morphology. However, the overall morphology of the porous FeS nanofibers was maintained, even after 100 cycles at a current density of $500 \text{ mA} \cdot \text{g}^{-1}$ (Fig. 6(b)). The numerous nanovoids in the FeS nanofibers accommodated the large volume change due




Figure 6 Morphologies of (a) hollow Fe_2O_3 nanofibers and (b) porous FeS nanofibers after 100 cycles.

to the repeated sodium insertion and desertion. In summary, the porous FeS nanofibers were highly structurally stable and had superior sodium-ion storage properties compared with hollow Fe₂O₃ nanofibers.

The electrochemical properties of the iron-sulphide anodes for sodium-ion batteries reported thus far and the electrochemical properties of the iron are summarized in Table S2 (in the ESM). In this study, the porous FeS nanofibers with numerous nanovoids showed superior electrochemical properties for sodium-ion storage compared with iron-sulphide materials reported in the literature. This is because the porous FeS nanofibers have high structural stability during repeated sodium insertion and desertion.

4 Conclusions

Porous FeS nanofibers prepared by a simple two-step post-treatment process were studied for use as anode materials for sodium-ion batteries. The first post-treatment step of the electrospun nanofibers was to heat the fibers in an air atmosphere, producing carbon-free, hollow Fe₂O₃ nanofibers by Ostwald ripening. In the second step, the hollow Fe₂O₃ nanofibers were sulfidated in H₂S gas, forming porous FeS nanofibers with numerous nanovoids. The Kirkendall effect played a key role in the formation of the porous FeS nanofibers. The porous FeS nanofibers were very structurally stable and had superior sodium-ion storage properties compared with the hollow Fe₂O₃ nanofibers. The simple fabrication process introduced in this study can be applied to the preparation of transition-metal sulfide nanofibers with various compositions for wide applications, including energy storage.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by a National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant funded by the Korea government (MEST) (No. NRF-2015R1A2A1A15056049). This work was supported by the Energy Efficiency & Resources Core Technology Program of the Korea Institute of Energy Technology Evaluation and Planning (KETEP), granted financial resource from the Ministry of Trade, Industry & Energy, Republic of Korea (No. 20153030091450).

Electronic Supplementary Material: Supplementary material (morphologies and phase analysis of the Fe₃O₄-carbon composite nanofibers and Fe_xO_y-carbon composite nanofibers, XRD patterns of the nanofibers, N₂ adsorption-desorption isotherms and BJH adsorption pore size distribution for the FeS nanofibers, and CV curves of the hollow Fe₂O₃ nanofibers) is available in the online version of this article at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12274-016-1346-9>.

References

- [1] Hong, S. Y.; Kim, Y.; Park, Y.; Choi, A.; Choi, N.-S.; Lee, K. T. Charge carriers in rechargeable batteries: Na ions vs. Li ions. *Energy Environ. Sci.* **2013**, *6*, 2067–2081.
- [2] Kim, S. W.; Seo, D. H.; Ma, X. H.; Ceder, G.; Kang, K. Electrode materials for rechargeable sodium-ion batteries: Potential alternatives to current lithium-ion batteries. *Adv. Energy Mater.* **2012**, *2*, 710–721.
- [3] Palomares, V.; Serras, P.; Villaluenga, I.; Hueso, K. B.; Carretero-González, J.; Rojo, T. Na-ion batteries, recent advances and present challenges to become low cost energy storage systems. *Energy Environ. Sci.* **2012**, *5*, 5884–5901.
- [4] Slater, M. D.; Kim, D.; Lee, E.; Johnson, C. S. Sodium-ion batteries. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2013**, *23*, 947–958.
- [5] You, Y.; Wu, X.-L.; Yin, Y.-X.; Guo, Y.-G. High-quality prussian blue crystals as superior cathode materials for room-temperature sodium-ion batteries. *Energy Environ. Sci.* **2014**, *7*, 1643–1647.
- [6] Cho, J. S.; Lee, S. Y.; Kang, Y. C. First introduction of NiSe₂ to anode material for sodium-ion batteries: A hybrid of graphene-wrapped NiSe₂/C porous nanofiber. *Sci. Rep.* **2016**, *6*, 23338.
- [7] Zhang, Z.; Shi, X. D.; Yang, X.; Fu, Y.; Zhang, K.; Lai, Y. Q.; Li, J. Nanooctahedra particles assembled FeSe₂ microspheres embedded into sulfur-doped reduced graphene oxide sheets as a promising anode for sodium ion batteries. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2016**, *8*, 13849–13856.
- [8] Qian, J. F.; Xiong, Y.; Cao, Y. L.; Ai, X. P.; Yang, H. X. Synergistic Na-storage reactions in Sn₄P₃ as a high-capacity, cycle-stable anode of Na-ion batteries. *Nano Lett.* **2014**, *14*, 1865–1869.
- [9] Wang, L.; Lu, Y. H.; Liu, J.; Xu, M. W.; Cheng, J. G.; Zhang, D. W.; Goodenough, J. B. A superior low-cost cathode for a Na-ion battery. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52*, 1964–1967.
- [10] You, Y.; Yu, X. Q.; Yin, Y. X.; Nam, K.-W.; Guo, Y.-G. Sodium iron hexacyanoferrate with high Na content as a

- Na-rich cathode material for Na-ion batteries. *Nano Res.* **2015**, *8*, 117–128.
- [11] Cho, J. S.; Won, J. M.; Lee, J.-K.; Kang, Y. C. Design and synthesis of multiroom-structured metal compounds–carbon hybrid microspheres as anode materials for rechargeable batteries. *Nano Energy* **2016**, *26*, 466–478.
- [12] Dong, Y. F.; Li, S.; Zhao, K. N.; Han, C. H.; Chen, W.; Wang, B. L.; Wang, L.; Xu, B. A.; Wei, Q. L.; Zhang, L. et al. Hierarchical zigzag $\text{Na}_{1.25}\text{V}_3\text{O}_8$ nanowires with topotactically encoded superior performance for sodium-ion battery cathodes. *Energy Environ. Sci.* **2015**, *8*, 1267–1275.
- [13] Li, S.; Dong, Y. F.; Xu, L.; Xu, X.; He, L.; Mai, L. Q. Effect of carbon matrix dimensions on the electrochemical properties of $\text{Na}_3\text{V}_2(\text{PO}_4)_3$ nanograins for high-performance symmetric sodium-ion batteries. *Adv. Mater.* **2014**, *26*, 3545–3553.
- [14] Gu, X.; Li, L. J.; Wang, Y.; Dai, P. C.; Wang, H. B.; Zhao, X. B. Hierarchical tubular structures constructed from rutile TiO_2 nanorods with superior sodium storage properties. *Electrochim. Acta* **2016**, *211*, 77–82.
- [15] Liu, J.; Kopold, P.; Wu, C.; van Aken, P. A.; Maier, J.; Yu, Y. Uniform yolk–shell $\text{Sn}_4\text{P}_3@\text{C}$ nanospheres as high-capacity and cycle-stable anode materials for sodium-ion batteries. *Energy Environ. Sci.* **2015**, *8*, 3531–3538.
- [16] Yu, D. Y. W.; Prikhodchenko, P. V.; Mason, C. W.; Batabyal, S. K.; Gun, J.; Sladkevich, S.; Medvedev, A. G.; Lev, O. High-capacity antimony sulphide nanoparticle-decorated graphene composite as anode for sodium-ion batteries. *Nat. Commun.* **2013**, *4*, 2922.
- [17] Hu, Z.; Wang, L. X.; Zhang, K.; Wang, J. B.; Cheng, F. Y.; Tao, Z. L.; Chen, J. MoS_2 nanoflowers with expanded interlayers as high-performance anodes for sodium-ion batteries. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2014**, *126*, 13008–13012.
- [18] Lacey, S. D.; Wan, J. Y.; Cresce, A. v. W.; Russell, S. M.; Dai, J. Q.; Bao, W. Z.; Xu, K.; Hu, L. B. Atomic force microscopy studies on molybdenum disulfide flakes as sodium-ion anodes. *Nano Lett.* **2015**, *15*, 1018–1024.
- [19] Peng, S. J.; Han, X. P.; Li, L. L.; Zhu, Z. Q.; Cheng, F. Y.; Srinivansan, M.; Adams, S.; Ramakrishna, S. Unique cobalt sulfide/reduced graphene oxide composite as an anode for sodium-ion batteries with superior rate capability and long cycling stability. *Small* **2016**, *12*, 1359–1368.
- [20] Hu, Z.; Zhu, Z. Q.; Cheng, F. Y.; Zhang, K.; Wang, J. B.; Chen, C. C.; Chen, J. Pyrite FeS_2 for high-rate and long-life rechargeable sodium batteries. *Energy Environ. Sci.* **2015**, *8*, 1309–1316.
- [21] Lee, S. Y.; Kang, Y. C. Sodium-ion storage properties of FeS –reduced graphene oxide composite powder with a crumpled structure. *Chem.—Eur. J.* **2016**, *22*, 2769–2774.
- [22] Walter, M.; Zünd, T.; Kovalenko, M. V. Pyrite (FeS_2) nanocrystals as inexpensive high-performance lithium-ion cathode and sodium-ion anode materials. *Nanoscale* **2015**, *7*, 9158–9163.
- [23] Zhang, S. S. The redox mechanism of FeS_2 in non-aqueous electrolytes for lithium and sodium batteries. *J. Mater. Chem. A* **2015**, *3*, 7689–7694.
- [24] Zhu, Y. J.; Suo, L. M.; Gao, T.; Fan, X. L.; Han, F. D.; Wang, C. S. Ether-based electrolyte enabled Na/FeS_2 rechargeable batteries. *Electrochem. Commun.* **2015**, *54*, 18–22.
- [25] Dirican, M.; Lu, Y.; Ge, Y. Q.; Yildiz, O.; Zhang, X. W. Carbon-confined SnO_2 -electrodeposited porous carbon nanofiber composite as high-capacity sodium-ion battery anode material. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2015**, *7*, 18387–18396.
- [26] Liu, Y. C.; Zhang, N.; Yu, C. M.; Jiao, L. F.; Chen, J. $\text{MnFe}_2\text{O}_4@\text{C}$ nanofibers as high-performance anode for sodium-ion batteries. *Nano Lett.* **2016**, *16*, 3321–3328.
- [27] Niu, C. J.; Meng, J. S.; Wang, X. P.; Han, C. H.; Yan, M. Y.; Zhao, K. N.; Xu, X. M.; Ren, W. H.; Zhao, Y. L.; Xu, L. et al. General synthesis of complex nanotubes by gradient electrospinning and controlled pyrolysis. *Nat. Commun.* **2015**, *6*, 7402.
- [28] Wang, X. Y.; Fan, L.; Gong, D. C.; Zhu, J.; Zhang, Q. F.; Lu, B. G. Core-shell $\text{Ge}@ \text{graphene} @ \text{TiO}_2$ nanofibers as a high-capacity and cycle-stable anode for lithium and sodium ion battery. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2016**, *26*, 1104–1111.
- [29] Xiong, Y.; Qian, J. F.; Cao, Y. L.; Ai, X. P.; Yang, H. X. Electrospun TiO_2/C nanofibers as a high-capacity and cycle-stable anode for sodium-ion batteries. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2016**, *8*, 16684–16689.
- [30] Cho, J. S.; Lee, J.-K.; Kang, Y. C. Graphitic carbon-coated FeSe_2 hollow nanosphere-decorated reduced graphene oxide hybrid nanofibers as an efficient anode material for sodium ion batteries. *Sci. Rep.* **2016**, *6*, 23699.
- [31] Zhu, Y.; Fan, X.; Suo, L.; Luo, C.; Gao, T.; Wang, C. Electrospun FeS_2 @carbon fiber electrode as a high energy density cathode for rechargeable lithium batteries. *ACS Nano* **2016**, *10*, 1529–1538.
- [32] Wang, M. D.; Xue, D. P.; Qin, H. Y.; Zhang, L.; Ling, G. P.; Liu, J. B.; Fang, Y. T.; Meng, L. Preparation of FeS_2 nanotube arrays based on layer-by-layer assembly and their photoelectrochemical properties. *Mater. Sci. Eng. B* **2016**, *204*, 38–44.
- [33] Shao, D. D.; Wang, X. X.; Li, J. X.; Huang, Y. S.; Ren, X. M.; Hou, G. S.; Wang, X. K. Reductive immobilization of uranium by PAAM– $\text{FeS}/\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$ magnetic composites. *Environ. Sci.: Water Res. Technol.* **2015**, *1*, 169–176.
- [34] Han, F.; Ma, L. J.; Sun, Q.; Lei, C.; Lu, A. H. Rationally designed carbon-coated Fe_3O_4 coaxial nanotubes with

- hierarchical porosity as high-rate anodes for lithium ion batteries. *Nano Res.* **2014**, *7*, 1706–1717.
- [35] Luo, J. S.; Liu, J. L.; Zeng, Z. Y.; Ng, C. F.; Ma, L. J.; Zhang, H.; Lin, J. Y.; Shen, Z. X.; Fan, H. J. Three-dimensional graphene foam supported Fe_3O_4 lithium battery anodes with long cycle life and high rate capability. *Nano Lett.* **2013**, *13*, 6136–6143.
- [36] Kennedy, T.; Sturman, B. T. The oxidation of iron (II) sulphide. *J. Therm. Anal.* **1975**, *8*, 329–337.
- [37] Schwab, G. M.; Philinis, J. Reactions of iron pyrite: Its thermal decomposition, reduction by hydrogen and air oxidation. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1947**, *69*, 2588–2596.
- [38] Coombs, P. G.; Munir, Z. A. The mechanism of oxidation of ferrous sulfide (FeS) powders in the range of 648 to 923 K. *Metall. Trans. B* **1989**, *20*, 661–670.
- [39] Wang, Y.-X.; Yang, J. P.; Chou, S.-L.; Liu, H. K.; Zhang, W.-X.; Zhao, D. Y.; Dou, S. X. Uniform yolk-shell iron sulfide-carbon nanospheres for superior sodium-iron sulfide batteries. *Nat. Commun.* **2015**, *6*, 8689.
- [40] Cao, Y. L.; Xiao, L. F.; Sushko, M. L.; Wang, W.; Schwenzer, B.; Xiao, J.; Nie, Z. M.; Saraf, L. V.; Yang, Z. G.; Liu, J. Sodium ion insertion in hollow carbon nanowires for battery applications. *Nano Lett.* **2012**, *12*, 3783–3787.
- [41] Cho, J. S.; Won, J. M.; Lee, J.-H.; Kang, Y. C. Synthesis and electrochemical properties of spherical and hollow-structured NiO aggregates created by combining the Kirkendall effect and Ostwald ripening. *Nanoscale* **2015**, *7*, 19620–19626.
- [42] Sun, Y. M.; Hu, X. L.; Luo, W.; Xia, F. F.; Huang, Y. H. Reconstruction of conformal nanoscale MnO on graphene as a high-capacity and long-life anode material for lithium ion batteries. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2013**, *23*, 2436–2444.
- [43] Tang, K.; Fu, L. J.; White, R. J.; Yu, L. H.; Titirici, M. M.; Antonietti, M.; Maier, J. Hollow carbon nanospheres with superior rate capability for sodium-based batteries. *Adv. Energy Mater.* **2012**, *2*, 873–877.
- [44] Douglas, A.; Carter, R.; Oakes, L.; Share, K.; Cohn, A. P.; Pint, C. L. Ultrafine iron pyrite (FeS_2) nanocrystals improve sodium-sulfur and lithium-sulfur conversion reactions for efficient batteries. *ACS Nano* **2015**, *9*, 11156–11165.
- [45] Bai, J.; Li, X. G.; Liu, G. Z.; Qian, Y. T.; Xiong, S. L. Unusual formation of ZnCo_2O_4 3D hierarchical twin microspheres as a high-rate and ultralong-life lithium-ion battery anode material. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2014**, *24*, 3012–3020.
- [46] Su, L. W.; Zhong, Y. R.; Zhou, Z. Role of transition metal nanoparticles in the extra lithium storage capacity of transition metal oxides: A case study of hierarchical core-shell $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4/\text{C}$ and $\text{Fe}@\text{C}$ microspheres. *J. Mater. Chem. A* **2013**, *1*, 15158–15166.
- [47] Zhou, L.; Zhao, D. Y.; Lou, X. W. Double-shelled CoMn_2O_4 hollow microcubes as high-capacity anodes for lithium-ion batteries. *Adv. Mater.* **2012**, *24*, 745–748.
- [48] Cho, J. S.; Hong, Y. J.; Lee, J.-H.; Kang, Y. C. Design and synthesis of micron-sized spherical aggregates composed of hollow Fe_2O_3 nanospheres for use in lithium-ion batteries. *Nanoscale* **2015**, *7*, 8361–8367.
- [49] Cho, J. S.; Kang, Y. C. Nanofibers comprising yolk-shell $\text{Sn}@\text{void}@\text{SnO}/\text{SnO}_2$ and hollow SnO/SnO_2 and SnO_2 nanospheres via the Kirkendall diffusion effect and their electrochemical properties. *Small* **2015**, *11*, 4673–4681.
- [50] Ngo, D. T.; Kalubarme, R. S.; Le, H. T. T.; Fisher, J. G.; Park, C. N.; Kim, I. D.; Park, C. J. Carbon-interconnected Ge nanocrystals as an anode with ultra-long-term cyclability for lithium ion batteries. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2014**, *24*, 5291–5298.
- [51] Wu, H.; Xu, M.; Wang, Y. C.; Zheng, G. F. Branched $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4/\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ nanowires as high capacity lithium-ion battery anodes. *Nano Res.* **2013**, *6*, 167–173.
- [52] Wu, H.; Xu, M.; Wu, H. Y.; Xu, J. J.; Wang, Y. L.; Peng, Z.; Zheng, G. F. Aligned NiO nanoflake arrays grown on copper as high capacity lithium-ion battery anodes. *J. Mater. Chem.* **2012**, *22*, 19821–19825.
- [53] Liu, Y. J.; Li, X. H.; Guo, H. J.; Wang, Z. X.; Hu, Q. Y.; Peng, W. J.; Yang, Y. Electrochemical performance and capacity fading reason of LiMn_2O_4 /graphite batteries stored at room temperature. *J. Power Sources* **2009**, *189*, 721–725.
- [54] Cho, J. S.; Hong, Y. J.; Kang, Y. C. Design and synthesis of bubble-nanorod-structured Fe_2O_3 -carbon nanofibers as advanced anode material for Li-ion batteries. *ACS Nano* **2015**, *9*, 4026–4035.
- [55] Wang, Z. Y.; Luan, D. Y.; Madhavi, S.; Hu, Y.; Lou, X. W. D. Assembling carbon-coated $\alpha\text{-Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ hollow nanohorns on the CNT backbone for superior lithium storage capability. *Energy Environ. Sci.* **2012**, *5*, 5252–5256.
- [56] Xu, Y. H.; Zhu, Y. J.; Liu, Y. H.; Wang, C. S. Electrochemical performance of porous carbon/tin composite anodes for sodium-ion and lithium-ion batteries. *Adv. Energy Mater.* **2013**, *3*, 128–133.
- [57] Mussatti, E.; Merlini, C.; Barra, G. M. D. O.; Güths, S.; de Oliveira, A. P. N.; Siligardi, C. Evaluation of the properties of iron oxide-filled castor oil polyurethane. *Mater. Res.* **2013**, *16*, 65–70.
- [58] Zeng, S. L.; Wang, H. X.; Dong, C. Synthesis and electrical conductivity of nanocrystalline tetragonal FeS . *Chinese Phys. B* **2014**, *23*, 087203.